



Austria

1. Policies and research

2. Sample

3. Results



universität
wien

Policies on ‘school integration’

- 1980ies: Pilot projects (parents movement)
- 1993: 15th Amendment of the School Organisation Act (primary school)
- 1996: 17th Amendment of the School Organisation Act (secondary school until 8th grade)

→ ‘freedom of choice’: consultation process with regional school authority and school

Implementation: support settings

Mainstream schools

- **‘Integration class’**: ‘regular’ teacher and ‘SEN teacher’
 - ca. 5 students with SEN (varies)
 - Small number of students in classrooms (ca. 20 max)
- **‘single integration’** (Einzelintegration): one student with SEN receives individual support by SEN-teacher for a certain number of hours

Special schools:

- 11 different ‘types’ of special schools, offering specific impairment-related support
- Small classes (5-8 students)
- 1 SEN- teacher + support assistance

Research on implementation

- *Positive ‘evaluation’ of mainstreaming in the 1990ies* (SPECHT 1991, 1993, 1995; 1997)
- SEN-students in mainstream schools (BUCHNER & GEBHARDT 2011)

94/95	95/96	96/97	97/98	98/99	99/00	00/01	01/02	02/03	09/10
20,3	25,5	30,7	35,5	41,7	46,5	49,8	51,3	52,5	51,2

- *Regional differences: Styria (77,3 %, ‘one track approach’), Lower Austria (27,1%, ‘two track approach’)*
- *No research on quality of special schools*
- *Development of ‘segregation quota’ (FLIEGER 2012)*

90/91	95/96	00/01	09/10	10/11
2,4	2,35	1,71	1,86	1,89



Moving behind statistics and teacher's voices.

- Putting the voice of (former) students into focus.
- Impact of school settings on the life course

Multi variation sample

- n=34
- 1980-1989
- 12 female, 8 male
- migration background
- Urban vs. rural
- Different Federal States (Upper Austria, Styria, Tyrol, Vienna)
- Type of impairment

TB2

**‘Children of the 1980ies’:
pathways of disabled students in
Austria**



Two emerging pathways...

- The 'Mainstream pathway'
- The 'Segregated pathway'

→ Only 2 participants changed settings

→ Experiences in settings 'pave the road' for post school trajectories

Mainstream pathway: experiences

- ‘it was hard to get in’: the consultation process as gatekeeping
- Long distance from residence
- ‘making some friends’
- Bullying by students (increasing with first stage of secondary school)
- Support that does not meet the needs
 - Parents help out to ensure participation at field trips

Mainstream pathway: resources

- Crucial role of parents (especially mothers)
 - ‘advocate mothers’ (SHAH & PRIESTLEY 2011)
- Crucial role of directors and teachers
 - ‘paving the road’
- ‘Intended resource’ of SEN-teachers
 - Participated in bullying (in some cases)
 - Practice of ‘SEN-hours’ reinforced stigmatization
 - ‘Support that does not fit’
 - High frequency of support teachers in ‘single integration’
 - Labelling as SEN-students → Othering

Mainstream pathway: post school trajectories

- Students got enabled to find paid jobs and to study
 - ‘typical’ jobs (e.g. Secretary, stenotypist or social work in the field of disability)
 - Academic excellence as key to ‘other’ jobs
 - Crucial impact of university degree
- Maintain friendships with ‘nondisabled’ persons
- Personal assistance as preferred service
- In some cases still mental health problems associated with bullying

Segregated pathway: experiences

- Low level of academic learning
- Focus on therapy/medical issues
- Bullying by other students
- Stigmatization by teachers: „...and she always said: ,you´re so stupid, you will never get a school degree!“
- Low opportunities for changing to mainstream schools

Segregated pathway: resources

- Focus on therapy
 - ‘yes, it was good for my autonomy, but bad for my learning, I always felt so exhausted’
- Missing support of families

Segregated pathway: post school trajectories

- Strong efforts by directors and teachers to maintain people in a segregated pathway
- Strong link between special schools and service providers: from special schools to sheltered workshops
- Choosing more, segregated services for the area of living, as group homes
- Social networks show a high content of disabled persons



Thanks for your attention!

tobias.buchner@univie.ac.at

<http://quali-tydes.univie.ac.at>